

Tulsa FOP – Police Oversight Program Poll
September 7th – 8th, 2019

Sample: Likely voters registered in the city of Tulsa (n=320) Margin of Error: ± 5.41%
 Weighted by; age, party and congressional district. Stratified to represent the Tulsa likely voter population.

Introduction:

Hello, this is Bill Shapard with SoonerPoll.com, Oklahoma’s public opinion polling firm. We're conducting a very short scientific political poll with Tulsa voters. It won't take but just a minute and your participation is greatly appreciated. Press 1 to get started now...

- | | | | |
|----|--|-----|------|
| 1. | With which political party are you registered? | 163 | 50.8 |
| | 1. For Republican, press 1 | | |
| | 2. For Democrat, press 2 | 121 | 37.7 |
| | 3. For Independent, press 3 | 37 | 11.5 |
| | 4. For Libertarian, press 4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 5. If you’re not registered, press 5 [DNR] [TERMINATE] | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 6. If you don’t know, press 6 [TERMINATE] | 0 | 0.0 |
| | To have these choices repeated, press 7 [REPEAT] | | |
| | [ANOTHER SELECTED NUMBER OR NO SELECTION: REPEAT QUESTION] | | |
| 2. | Do you consider yourself a liberal, a moderate, or a conservative in your political beliefs? | | |
| | 1. For very liberal, press 1 | 31 | 9.6 |
| | 2. For somewhat liberal, press 2 | 61 | 18.9 |
| | 3. For moderate, press 3 | 106 | 33.2 |
| | 4. For somewhat conservative, press 4 | 47 | 14.8 |
| | 5. For very conservative, press 5 | 75 | 23.1 |
| | 6. If you don’t know, press 6 | 0 | 0.1 |
| | To have these choices repeated, press 7 [REPEAT] | | |
| | [ANOTHER SELECTED NUMBER OR NO SELECTION: REPEAT QUESTION] | | |

3.	First, I'm going to read to you several individuals and organizations. For each one, please tell me if you have a FAVORABLE or UNFAVORABLE opinion of them. The first one, Mayor G.T. Bynum?		
	1. If very favorable, press 1	93	29.1
	2. If somewhat favorable, press 2	142	44.4
	3. Combined favorable	235	73.5
	4. If somewhat unfavorable, press 3	50	15.6
	5. If very unfavorable, press 4	19	5.9
	6. Combined unfavorable	69	21.5
	7. If you don't know him or have an opinion, press 5	16	5.0
	To have these choices repeated, press 6 [REPEAT]		
	[ANOTHER SELECTED NUMBER OR NO SELECTION: REPEAT QUESTION]		
4.	How about the Tulsa City Council? Do you have a FAVORABLE or UNFAVORABLE opinion of them?		
	1. If very favorable, press 1	29	9.1
	2. If somewhat favorable, press 2	174	54.2
	3. Combined favorable	203	63.3
	4. If somewhat unfavorable, press 3	53	16.4
	5. If very unfavorable, press 4	22	6.9
	6. Combined unfavorable	75	23.3
	7. If you don't know him or have an opinion, press 5	43	13.4
	To have these choices repeated, press 6 [REPEAT]		
	[ANOTHER SELECTED NUMBER OR NO SELECTION: REPEAT QUESTION]		
5.	How about the Tulsa Police Department? Do you have a FAVORABLE or UNFAVORABLE opinion of them?		
	1. If very favorable, press 1	152	47.6
	2. If somewhat favorable, press 2	119	37.0
	3. Combined favorable	271	84.6
	4. If somewhat unfavorable, press 3	30	9.5
	5. If very unfavorable, press 4	11	3.6
	6. Combined unfavorable	41	13.1
	7. If you don't know him or have an opinion, press 5	7	2.3
	To have these choices repeated, press 6 [REPEAT]		
	[ANOTHER SELECTED NUMBER OR NO SELECTION: REPEAT QUESTION]		

6.	Like all other police departments in the country, the Tulsa Police Department has an internal affairs department that investigates professional misconduct of police officers. From what you know, do you think the Tulsa internal affairs department, overall, is doing a great job, a good job, an average job, a poor job, or a bad job?		
	1. For a great job, press 1	59	18.5
	2. For a good job, press 2	116	36.2
	3. Combined above-average	175	54.7
	4. For an average job, press 3	91	28.3
	5. For a poor job, press 4	16	5.0
	6. For a bad job, press 5	14	4.3
	7. Combined below-average	30	9.3
	8. If you're unsure, press 6	24	7.6
	To have these choices repeated, press 7 [REPEAT]		
	[ANOTHER SELECTED NUMBER OR NO SELECTION: REPEAT QUESTION]		
7.	In the coming days, Tulsa city councilors will vote on the creation of an Office of Independent Monitor. How familiar are you with this issue?		
	1. If very familiar, press 1	43	13.5
	2. If somewhat familiar, press 2	124	38.7
	3. If somewhat unfamiliar, press 3	70	21.7
	4. If very unfamiliar, press 4	83	26.1
	To have these choices repeated, press 5 [REPEAT]		
	[ANOTHER SELECTED NUMBER OR NO SELECTION: REPEAT QUESTION]		
8.	The Office of Independent Monitor would follow up on citizen complaints about police, review Tulsa Police Department Internal affairs' investigations of use-of-force incidents, make policy recommendations, and conduct community outreach. Do you SUPPORT or OPPOSE the creation of this new city government office?		
	1. If strongly support, press 1	81	25.4
	2. If somewhat support, press 2	87	27.1
	3. Combined support	168	52.5
	4. If somewhat oppose, press 3	51	15.8
	5. If strongly oppose, press 4	65	20.3
	6. Combined oppose	116	36.1
	7. If you don't know or have an opinion, press 5	36	11.3
	To have these choices repeated, press 6 [REPEAT]		
	[ANOTHER SELECTED NUMBER OR NO SELECTION: REPEAT QUESTION]		

9.	Supporters of the new Office of Independent Monitor say the city needs a more transparent and accountable police department that is more community oriented when there is a perceived unjust use of force by law enforcement. Opponents say the new office is just more costly duplicative bureaucracy of city government that would oversee those that already overseeing the police department which is the Department of Internal Affairs. Knowing this, do you SUPPORT or OPPOSE the creation of this new city government office?		
	1. If strongly support, press 1	64	20.0
	2. If somewhat support, press 2	76	23.7
	3. Combined support	140	43.7
	4. If somewhat oppose, press 3	55	17.3
	5. If strongly oppose, press 4	89	27.7
	6. Combined oppose	144	45.0
	7. If you don't know or have an opinion, press 5	36	11.3
	To have these choices repeated, press 6 [REPEAT]		
	[ANOTHER SELECTED NUMBER OR NO SELECTION: REPEAT QUESTION]		
10.	The Tulsa Police Department is currently accredited and overseen by CALEA, which is the Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement. This nationwide commission is partially overseen by the national organization of black law enforcement executives. Also, Tulsa taxpayers are already paying over half a million dollars a year to provide this oversight of the Tulsa Police Department. Knowing this, do you still SUPPORT or OPPOSE the creation of this new Office of Independent Monitor?		
	1. If strongly support, press 1	51	16.0
	2. If somewhat support, press 2	81	25.3
	3. Combined support	132	41.3
	4. If somewhat oppose, press 3	60	18.8
	5. If strongly oppose, press 4	106	33.3
	6. Combined oppose	166	52.1
	7. If you don't know or have an opinion, press 5	21	6.7
	To have these choices repeated, press 6 [REPEAT]		
	[ANOTHER SELECTED NUMBER OR NO SELECTION: REPEAT QUESTION]		

11.	Supporters of the proposed Office of Independent Monitor have prohibited anyone from serving in that new office that has any professional law enforcement training, which means a city office would be reviewing investigations of possible police misconduct and making policy recommendations having no formal education, training or experience in law enforcement. Knowing this, do you still SUPPORT or OPPOSE the creation of this new Office of Independent Monitor?		
	1. If strongly support, press 1	39	12.2
	2. If somewhat support, press 2	62	19.4
	3. Combined support	101	31.6
	4. If somewhat oppose, press 3	60	18.6
	5. If strongly oppose, press 4	130	40.5
	6. Combined oppose	190	59.1
	7. If you don't know or have an opinion, press 5	30	9.3
	To have these choices repeated, press 6 [REPEAT]		
	[ANOTHER SELECTED NUMBER OR NO SELECTION: REPEAT QUESTION]		
12.	Opponents of the proposed Office of Independent Monitor have proposed an alternative in contracting with the Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigations in serving as oversight to the Tulsa Police Department. The OSBI is independent from the city of Tulsa and its political process, has professional law enforcement training, and could perform the service for one-fifth of the cost of the new Office of Independent Monitor. Knowing this, do you still SUPPORT or OPPOSE the creation of this new Office of Independent Monitor rather than an OSBI alternative?		
	1. If strongly support, press 1	35	10.9
	2. If somewhat support, press 2	63	19.6
	3. Combined support	98	30.5
	4. If somewhat oppose, press 3	61	19.2
	5. If strongly oppose, press 4	114	35.5
	6. Combined oppose	175	54.7
	7. If you don't know or have an opinion, press 5	47	14.8
	To have these choices repeated, press 6 [REPEAT]		
	[ANOTHER SELECTED NUMBER OR NO SELECTION: REPEAT QUESTION]		

13.	Based on everything you now know about the proposed creation of an Office of Independent Monitor, do you do you SUPPORT or OPPOSE the creation of this new city government office?		
	1. If strongly support, press 1	41	12.8
	2. If somewhat support, press 2	61	19.0
	3. Combined support	102	31.8
	4. If somewhat oppose, press 3	55	17.1
	5. If strongly oppose, press 4	117	36.7
	6. Combined oppose	172	53.8
	7. If you don't know or have an opinion, press 5	46	14.4
	To have these choices repeated, press 6 [REPEAT]		
	[ANOTHER SELECTED NUMBER OR NO SELECTION: REPEAT QUESTION]		
14.	We're almost done! If you are:		
	1. Male, press 1	145	45.3
	2. Female, press 2	175	54.7
	To have these choices repeated, press 3 [REPEAT]		
	[ANOTHER SELECTED NUMBER OR NO SELECTION: REPEAT QUESTION]		
15.	Into which of the following age groups do you fall?		
	1. If 17 or younger, press 1 [TERMINATE]	0	0.0
	2. If you're 18-24, press 2	7	2.3
	3. If you're 25-34, press 3	13	4.2
	4. If you're 35-44, press 4	42	13.0
	5. If you're 45-54, press 5	54	16.9
	6. If you're 55-64, press 6	79	24.7
	7. If you're 65 and over, press 7	124	38.8
	To have these choices repeated, press 8 [REPEAT]		
	[ANOTHER SELECTED NUMBER OR NO SELECTION: REPEAT QUESTION]		

16.	With which of the following races or ethnicities do you mainly identify with?		
	1. If white or Caucasian, press 1	214	66.9
	2. If black or African American, press 2	40	12.5
	3. If Hispanic, press 3	1	0.4
	4. If Asian, press 4	2	0.8
	5. If Native American, press 5	22	7.0
	6. If something not mentioned here, press 6	1	0.4
	7. Refused	38	11.9
	To have these choices repeated, press 8 [REPEAT]		
	[ANOTHER SELECTED NUMBER OR NO SELECTION: REPEAT QUESTION]		
17.	Tulsa City Council District [PRE-CODE]		
	1. Hall-Harper	37	11.7
	2. Cue	16	5.0
	3. Patrick	20	6.1
	4. McKee	43	13.3
	5. Fahler	48	14.9
	6. Dodson	42	13.0
	7. Wright	15	4.6
	8. Lakin	48	15.0
	9. Kimbro	52	16.3

		Party						Label					
		Republican		Democrat		Independent		Liberal		Conservative		Moderate	
G.T. Bynum	Very favorable	45	27.5%	45	37.3%	3	8.9%	30	33.3%	23	18.5%	40	37.7%
	Somewhat favorable	80	49.0%	56	46.8%	6	16.6%	46	50.9%	54	44.0%	42	39.1%
	Somewhat unfavorable	22	13.8%	13	11.0%	14	38.5%	9	9.6%	19	15.5%	22	20.8%
	Very unfavorable	12	7.2%	4	3.1%	3	9.2%	4	4.6%	14	11.7%	0	0.4%
	Neutral/DK	4	2.5%	2	1.8%	10	26.8%	1	1.6%	13	10.3%	2	2.0%
Tulsa City Council	Very favorable	12	7.4%	16	13.5%	1	1.9%	13	14.4%	4	3.0%	12	11.5%
	Somewhat favorable	91	56.0%	60	50.0%	22	60.2%	46	50.5%	57	46.4%	70	66.3%
	Somewhat unfavorable	24	14.6%	26	21.5%	3	7.7%	17	18.4%	18	15.0%	17	16.5%
	Very unfavorable	10	5.9%	10	8.7%	2	5.2%	4	4.0%	14	11.8%	4	3.7%
	Neutral/DK	26	16.0%	8	6.3%	9	25.1%	12	12.7%	29	23.8%	2	2.0%
Tulsa Police Dept.	Very favorable	106	65.2%	24	20.0%	22	60.2%	28	30.3%	65	53.7%	59	55.7%
	Somewhat favorable	44	27.3%	65	53.9%	9	24.7%	44	48.6%	42	34.0%	32	30.3%
	Somewhat unfavorable	6	3.5%	25	20.4%	0	0.0%	18	20.2%	5	4.4%	7	6.2%
	Very unfavorable	4	2.7%	6	5.2%	1	1.9%	0	0.5%	5	4.5%	5	5.2%
	Neutral/DK	2	1.2%	0	0.4%	5	13.3%	0	0.4%	4	3.4%	3	2.6%
Affairs Dept. Good/Bad Job	Great job	50	30.6%	9	7.8%	0	0.0%	7	8.1%	23	18.8%	29	27.2%
	Good job	77	47.1%	24	19.7%	16	42.2%	25	27.5%	64	52.4%	27	25.3%
	Average job	21	13.1%	66	54.5%	4	9.6%	41	45.1%	19	15.5%	30	28.2%
	Poor job	7	4.3%	1	0.6%	8	23.1%	0	0.5%	3	2.3%	13	12.1%
	Bad job	1	0.4%	13	10.5%	1	1.9%	7	7.9%	2	1.3%	5	4.7%
	Unsure	7	4.6%	8	7.0%	9	23.2%	10	10.8%	12	9.7%	3	2.4%
Office of Ind. Monitor	Very familiar	32	19.6%	9	7.8%	2	5.2%	5	5.8%	21	17.2%	17	15.8%
	Somewhat familiar	60	37.0%	49	40.9%	14	39.3%	43	47.6%	39	31.7%	42	39.3%
	Somewhat unfamiliar	40	24.8%	24	19.7%	5	14.7%	13	14.4%	28	22.7%	28	26.7%
	Very unfamiliar	30	18.6%	38	31.6%	15	40.8%	29	32.2%	35	28.4%	19	18.3%

		Party						Label					
		Republican		Democrat		Independent		Liberal		Conservative		Moderate	
Office of Ind. Monitor - description Support/Oppose	Strongly support	21	12.8%	57	47.4%	3	8.9%	41	45.1%	12	9.6%	28	26.7%
	Somewhat support	37	22.9%	41	33.9%	8	23.1%	24	25.7%	31	25.7%	31	29.5%
	Somewhat oppose	35	21.5%	7	6.0%	9	23.2%	10	11.4%	19	15.9%	21	19.7%
	Strongly oppose	42	25.8%	9	7.8%	14	37.5%	8	8.2%	36	29.9%	21	19.9%
	Neutral/DK	28	17.0%	6	4.9%	3	7.4%	9	9.5%	23	19.0%	5	4.2%
Supporters Vs. Opponents	Strongly support	12	7.4%	50	41.8%	1	3.7%	32	35.2%	7	6.0%	24	23.0%
	Somewhat support	33	20.2%	41	34.0%	2	5.2%	34	36.8%	14	11.9%	28	26.0%
	Somewhat oppose	36	22.3%	9	7.2%	10	28.2%	12	13.2%	24	19.8%	19	18.0%
	Strongly oppose	56	34.6%	12	10.1%	20	55.5%	8	8.9%	50	41.2%	30	28.7%
	Neutral/DK	25	15.5%	8	6.9%	3	7.4%	5	5.8%	26	21.2%	5	4.3%
Already overseen by CALEA	Strongly support	5	2.8%	45	37.4%	1	3.7%	31	34.1%	2	1.6%	18	16.9%
	Somewhat support	31	18.8%	40	33.0%	10	28.2%	33	36.5%	21	17.1%	27	25.1%
	Somewhat oppose	48	29.6%	12	10.0%	0	0.0%	12	13.1%	31	25.5%	17	16.2%
	Strongly oppose	69	42.4%	15	12.7%	22	60.6%	9	10.3%	59	48.0%	38	36.2%
	Neutral/DK	10	6.4%	8	6.9%	3	7.4%	5	5.9%	9	7.8%	6	5.7%
City Officer with no Police Training	Strongly support	7	4.2%	31	25.6%	1	3.7%	26	28.9%	5	3.9%	8	7.5%
	Somewhat support	22	13.4%	38	31.9%	2	5.2%	21	23.4%	15	11.9%	26	24.7%
	Somewhat oppose	34	21.0%	17	14.1%	8	23.1%	7	8.1%	33	27.4%	18	17.3%
	Strongly oppose	82	50.5%	25	20.4%	23	62.5%	25	27.1%	62	51.1%	42	39.9%
	Neutral/DK	18	11.0%	10	8.1%	2	5.6%	11	12.5%	7	5.8%	11	10.5%
Contracting Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigations	Strongly support	8	4.8%	26	21.9%	1	1.9%	23	25.0%	6	4.8%	6	5.8%
	Somewhat support	21	12.6%	40	32.9%	3	7.0%	28	30.7%	6	5.0%	29	26.9%
	Somewhat oppose	28	17.1%	25	20.8%	8	23.1%	13	14.5%	33	27.4%	15	13.9%
	Strongly oppose	80	48.9%	15	12.8%	19	50.3%	20	21.8%	52	42.8%	41	39.0%
	Neutral/DK	27	16.5%	14	11.6%	7	17.7%	7	8.0%	24	20.0%	15	14.3%

		Party						Label					
		Republican		Democrat		Independent		Liberal		Conservative		Moderate	
Support/Oppose	Strongly support	7	4.5%	32	26.7%	1	3.7%	26	28.9%	5	4.3%	9	8.6%
	Somewhat support	18	11.1%	41	33.9%	2	5.2%	26	28.6%	7	6.1%	27	25.2%
	Somewhat oppose	36	21.8%	11	9.0%	8	23.1%	8	9.0%	31	25.7%	15	14.3%
	Strongly oppose	79	48.7%	20	16.3%	19	50.3%	16	17.3%	58	47.7%	43	40.7%
	Neutral/DK	23	13.9%	17	14.1%	7	17.7%	15	16.1%	20	16.1%	12	11.1%
Gender	Male	81	49.7%	48	39.6%	16	44.5%	42	45.6%	51	42.0%	52	49.1%
	Female	82	50.3%	73	60.4%	20	55.5%	50	54.4%	71	58.0%	54	50.9%
Age	18-24	0	0.0%	7	6.1%	0	0.0%	7	8.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	25-34	3	1.8%	7	5.9%	3	9.2%	10	11.5%	0	0.0%	3	2.8%
	35-44	27	16.6%	12	9.8%	3	7.7%	21	22.6%	13	10.4%	8	7.9%
	45-54	22	13.5%	15	12.6%	17	46.2%	9	10.2%	16	13.0%	29	27.3%
	55-64	43	26.2%	27	22.5%	9	25.8%	17	18.8%	35	28.5%	27	25.6%
	65 and over	68	41.9%	52	43.2%	4	11.1%	26	28.9%	59	48.2%	39	36.4%
Race	White/Caucasian	121	74.2%	68	56.0%	26	70.9%	48	52.9%	96	78.5%	70	65.6%
	Black/African American	7	4.5%	29	24.4%	3	9.2%	22	24.6%	1	1.1%	16	15.4%
	Hispanic	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%
	Asian	2	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.0%	0	0.0%
	Native American	11	7.0%	8	6.8%	3	7.7%	6	6.1%	4	3.7%	12	11.6%
	Other	1	0.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	1	0.5%	1	0.6%
	Refused	20	12.4%	14	11.7%	4	10.3%	15	16.4%	17	14.2%	6	5.4%
City Council District (Tulsa)	Hall-Harper	3	1.9%	26	21.4%	8	23.1%	16	17.7%	11	8.7%	11	10.0%
	Cue	8	5.0%	6	4.8%	2	5.2%	4	4.1%	7	5.4%	6	5.2%
	Patrick	13	8.1%	6	5.3%	0	0.0%	5	5.4%	9	7.1%	6	5.7%
	McKee	15	9.4%	19	15.7%	9	23.2%	21	23.1%	13	10.7%	9	8.0%
	Fahler	33	20.4%	10	8.3%	4	12.2%	6	6.6%	23	18.7%	19	17.7%

		Party						Label					
		Republican		Democrat		Independent		Liberal		Conservative		Moderate	
CD	Dodson	17	10.5%	16	13.4%	8	23.1%	11	12.5%	15	12.0%	16	14.8%
	Wright	10	6.4%	4	3.5%	0	0.0%	5	5.0%	5	3.8%	5	5.1%
	Lakin	26	16.0%	19	16.0%	3	7.7%	8	8.9%	22	18.1%	18	16.9%
	Kimbro	36	22.3%	14	11.6%	2	5.6%	15	16.6%	19	15.5%	18	16.6%
	Hern	162	99.8%	115	95.4%	37	100%	86	94.2%	122	100%	106	99.5%
	Lucas	0	0.2%	6	4.6%	0	0.0%	5	5.8%	0	0.0%	1	0.5%

		Gender				Age											
		Male		Female		18-24		25-34		35-44		45-54		55-64		65 and over	
Party	Republican	81	55.7%	82	46.7%	0	0.0%	3	21.9%	27	64.7%	22	40.6%	43	53.8%	68	54.8%
	Democrat	48	33.0%	73	41.6%	7	100%	7	52.8%	12	28.4%	15	28.1%	27	34.3%	52	41.9%
	Independent	16	11.3%	20	11.7%	0	0.0%	3	25.3%	3	6.8%	17	31.3%	9	12.0%	4	3.3%
Label	Liberal	42	28.7%	50	28.5%	7	100%	10	78.1%	21	49.5%	9	17.2%	17	21.7%	26	21.3%
	Conservative	51	35.3%	71	40.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	30.4%	16	29.2%	35	43.9%	59	47.5%
	Moderate	52	36.0%	54	31.0%	0	0.0%	3	21.9%	8	20.1%	29	53.6%	27	34.3%	39	31.2%
G.T. Bynum	Very favorable	44	30.1%	49	28.2%	4	50.0%	0	0.0%	6	14.2%	7	13.5%	33	41.1%	44	35.1%
	Somewhat favorable	65	44.7%	77	44.2%	0	0.0%	10	74.7%	31	75.1%	23	41.6%	26	32.7%	52	42.2%
	Somewhat unfavorable	27	18.6%	23	13.1%	4	50.0%	0	0.0%	4	10.6%	16	29.2%	16	20.0%	10	8.1%
	Very unfavorable	8	5.7%	11	6.1%	0	0.0%	3	25.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	6.2%	11	8.5%
	Neutral/DK	1	1.0%	15	8.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	15.7%	0	0.0%	8	6.2%
Tulsa City Council	Very favorable	15	10.1%	14	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	15.4%	0	0.0%	10	12.9%	13	10.1%
	Somewhat favorable	87	59.9%	87	49.5%	4	50.0%	5	39.5%	12	28.3%	41	75.6%	38	47.6%	74	59.7%
	Somewhat unfavorable	22	15.4%	30	17.3%	0	0.0%	5	35.2%	10	24.5%	6	10.9%	16	20.3%	16	12.6%
	Very unfavorable	8	5.2%	14	8.3%	4	50.0%	0	0.0%	4	9.5%	0	0.0%	7	8.6%	8	6.1%
	Neutral/DK	14	9.4%	29	16.7%	0	0.0%	3	25.3%	9	22.4%	7	13.5%	8	10.6%	14	11.5%
Tulsa Police Dept.	Very favorable	62	42.8%	90	51.5%	4	50.0%	0	0.0%	27	64.7%	32	58.4%	35	44.2%	55	44.2%
	Somewhat favorable	68	46.7%	51	29.1%	0	0.0%	11	82.4%	8	19.0%	17	30.7%	32	40.8%	51	40.8%

		Gender				Age											
		Male		Female		18-24		25-34		35-44		45-54		55-64		65 and over	
Affairs Dept. Good/Bad Job	Somewhat unfavorable	8	5.3%	23	13.0%	4	50.0%	2	17.6%	0	0.0%	6	10.9%	7	8.7%	12	9.3%
	Very unfavorable	6	4.1%	5	3.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	9.5%	0	0.0%	3	4.1%	4	3.4%
	Neutral/DK	2	1.1%	6	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	6.8%	0	0.0%	2	2.1%	3	2.3%
	Great job	27	18.8%	32	18.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	23.5%	15	27.1%	16	20.3%	19	15.0%
	Good job	52	36.1%	64	36.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19	45.9%	16	29.2%	27	33.8%	54	43.5%
	Average job	41	28.3%	50	28.3%	4	50.0%	7	52.8%	7	16.3%	15	28.1%	22	28.3%	35	28.5%
	Poor job	15	10.1%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	3	21.9%	0	0.0%	8	15.7%	2	2.1%	3	2.5%
	Bad job	5	3.6%	9	5.0%	4	50.0%	0	0.0%	4	9.5%	0	0.0%	3	3.3%	4	2.9%
Office of Ind. Monitor	Unsure	5	3.2%	20	11.3%	0	0.0%	3	25.3%	2	4.7%	0	0.0%	10	12.3%	9	7.5%
	Very familiar	28	19.3%	15	8.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	5.9%	7	13.5%	15	18.5%	19	15.0%
	Somewhat familiar	56	38.6%	68	38.8%	4	50.0%	3	25.3%	11	27.1%	18	32.8%	35	44.7%	52	42.1%
	Somewhat unfamiliar	36	24.6%	34	19.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14	32.8%	15	27.1%	12	14.6%	30	23.9%
Office of Ind. Monitor - description Support/Oppose	Very unfamiliar	25	17.5%	58	33.2%	4	50.0%	10	74.7%	14	34.2%	14	26.6%	18	22.2%	24	18.9%
	Strongly support	32	22.2%	49	28.1%	4	50.0%	5	39.5%	9	21.3%	15	28.1%	16	20.8%	32	25.6%
	Somewhat support	40	27.7%	46	26.5%	0	0.0%	5	35.2%	4	9.5%	8	15.7%	29	36.5%	41	32.6%
	Somewhat oppose	23	15.8%	28	15.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17	39.8%	7	13.5%	12	15.5%	15	11.7%
	Strongly oppose	36	24.5%	30	16.9%	4	50.0%	3	25.3%	2	5.9%	16	29.2%	20	25.1%	20	16.0%
Supporters Vs. Opponents	Neutral/DK	14	9.8%	22	12.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	23.5%	7	13.5%	2	2.1%	18	14.1%
	Strongly support	23	16.0%	41	23.3%	4	50.0%	2	17.6%	10	24.9%	15	28.1%	11	13.8%	21	17.2%
	Somewhat support	36	24.7%	40	22.8%	0	0.0%	8	57.1%	9	21.3%	0	0.0%	25	31.1%	35	27.9%

		Gender				Age											
		Male		Female		18-24		25-34		35-44		45-54		55-64		65 and over	
Already overseen by CALEA	Somewhat oppose	21	14.6%	34	19.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	29.4%	8	15.7%	16	19.8%	19	15.2%
	Strongly oppose	50	34.4%	39	22.3%	4	50.0%	3	25.3%	5	12.7%	23	42.7%	25	32.0%	28	22.5%
	Neutral/DK	15	10.3%	21	12.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	11.8%	7	13.5%	3	3.3%	21	17.2%
	Strongly support	21	14.2%	31	17.4%	4	50.0%	2	17.6%	6	14.2%	15	28.1%	8	9.7%	16	13.1%
	Somewhat support	34	23.6%	47	26.6%	0	0.0%	8	57.1%	11	26.0%	8	15.7%	25	31.5%	29	23.3%
City Officer with no Police Training	Somewhat oppose	27	18.8%	33	18.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17	41.2%	7	13.5%	9	11.6%	27	21.4%
	Strongly oppose	57	39.5%	49	28.1%	4	50.0%	3	25.3%	8	18.6%	23	42.7%	35	43.9%	34	27.2%
	Neutral/DK	6	3.9%	16	9.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	3.3%	19	15.1%
	Strongly support	19	13.0%	20	11.6%	0	0.0%	2	17.6%	4	9.5%	9	17.2%	9	11.8%	14	11.4%
	Somewhat support	32	22.0%	30	17.2%	4	50.0%	3	21.9%	4	9.5%	13	24.4%	14	18.2%	24	19.3%
Contracting Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigations	Somewhat oppose	22	15.0%	38	21.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	17.7%	8	15.7%	19	24.5%	24	19.6%
	Strongly oppose	65	44.6%	65	37.1%	4	50.0%	8	60.5%	22	51.6%	23	42.7%	29	36.1%	45	35.9%
	Neutral/DK	8	5.3%	22	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	11.8%	0	0.0%	8	9.5%	17	13.8%
	Strongly support	16	10.8%	19	11.0%	0	0.0%	2	17.6%	0	0.0%	9	17.2%	12	15.5%	11	8.9%
	Somewhat support	26	17.7%	37	21.3%	4	50.0%	3	21.9%	15	35.5%	6	10.9%	10	13.2%	25	20.2%
	Somewhat oppose	28	19.0%	34	19.3%	0	0.0%	5	35.2%	7	17.7%	8	15.7%	19	24.1%	22	17.5%
	Strongly oppose	55	38.2%	58	33.2%	4	50.0%	3	25.3%	18	42.1%	23	42.7%	26	33.3%	39	31.7%
	Neutral/DK	21	14.3%	27	15.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.7%	7	13.5%	11	13.9%	27	21.8%

		Gender				Age											
		Male		Female		18-24		25-34		35-44		45-54		55-64		65 and over	
Support/Oppose	Strongly support	22	15.0%	19	10.9%	0	0.0%	2	17.6%	4	9.5%	9	17.2%	12	15.5%	13	10.5%
	Somewhat support	25	17.0%	36	20.6%	4	50.0%	3	21.9%	6	14.2%	6	10.9%	17	21.5%	25	20.4%
	Somewhat oppose	14	9.8%	41	23.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	29.4%	8	15.7%	15	19.5%	19	15.0%
	Strongly oppose	64	44.4%	53	30.3%	4	50.0%	3	25.3%	13	30.4%	23	42.7%	29	37.1%	45	36.3%
	Neutral/DK	20	13.7%	26	15.0%	0	0.0%	5	35.2%	7	16.5%	7	13.5%	5	6.5%	22	17.8%
Race	White/Caucasian	100	68.8%	115	65.4%	0	0.0%	5	39.5%	19	45.9%	36	66.7%	57	72.7%	96	77.4%
	Black/African American	20	14.0%	20	11.4%	4	50.0%	3	25.3%	8	19.0%	11	19.8%	6	7.6%	8	6.8%
	Hispanic	1	0.7%	0	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%
	Asian	0	0.0%	2	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Native American	2	1.3%	20	11.7%	4	50.0%	0	0.0%	5	12.7%	0	0.0%	9	11.6%	4	3.4%
	Other	1	0.4%	1	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%
	Refused	22	14.9%	16	9.4%	0	0.0%	5	35.2%	7	16.5%	7	13.5%	6	8.1%	13	10.2%
City Council District (Tulsa)	Hall-Harper	13	9.2%	24	13.8%	0	0.0%	5	35.2%	4	9.5%	12	21.9%	5	6.4%	12	9.6%
	Cue	10	6.9%	6	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	9.5%	8	6.7%
	Patrick	4	2.6%	16	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	11.8%	0	0.0%	9	11.6%	6	4.5%
	McKee	18	12.1%	25	14.3%	4	50.0%	3	25.3%	5	11.8%	0	0.0%	12	15.6%	18	14.8%
	Fahler	25	17.2%	23	12.9%	0	0.0%	3	21.9%	7	17.7%	7	13.5%	14	17.7%	16	12.9%
	Dodson	28	19.4%	14	7.8%	0	0.0%	2	17.6%	6	14.2%	16	29.2%	6	7.5%	12	9.4%
	Wright	7	4.9%	8	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	4.1%	11	9.1%
	Lakin	12	8.5%	36	20.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	23.3%	12	21.8%	11	13.7%	16	12.7%
	Kimbro	28	19.2%	24	13.9%	4	50.0%	0	0.0%	5	11.8%	7	13.5%	11	14.1%	25	20.3%
CD	Hern	141	97.1%	173	99.1%	7	100%	13	100%	42	100%	51	93.7%	78	99.0%	123	98.6%
	Lucas	4	2.9%	2	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	6.3%	1	1.0%	2	1.4%

		Race											
		White/Caucasian		Black/African American		Hispanic		Asian		Native American		Other	
Party	Republican	121	56.3%	7	18.3%	0	0.0%	2	100%	11	50.7%	1	46.4%
	Democrat	68	31.6%	29	73.3%	1	100%	0	0.0%	8	36.6%	0	0.0%
	Independent	26	12.2%	3	8.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	12.7%	1	53.6%
Label	Liberal	48	22.6%	22	55.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	24.9%	0	0.0%
	Conservative	96	44.8%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	2	100%	4	20.1%	1	46.4%
	Moderate	70	32.6%	16	40.8%	1	100%	0	0.0%	12	55.1%	1	53.6%
G.T. Bynum	Very favorable	62	29.0%	18	45.8%	1	100%	0	0.0%	6	25.8%	0	0.0%
	Somewhat favorable	89	41.6%	13	31.5%	0	0.0%	2	100%	13	56.7%	1	100%
	Somewhat unfavorable	34	16.1%	5	13.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.6%	0	0.0%
	Very unfavorable	14	6.6%	3	8.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.7%	0	0.0%
Tulsa City Council	Neutral/DK	14	6.7%	0	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	2.1%	0	0.0%
	Very favorable	16	7.5%	7	17.6%	1	66.7%	0	0.0%	4	18.3%	0	0.0%
	Somewhat favorable	131	61.0%	17	41.3%	0	33.3%	0	0.0%	13	56.3%	1	100%
	Somewhat unfavorable	36	16.6%	5	11.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	20.7%	0	0.0%
Tulsa Police Dept.	Very unfavorable	14	6.4%	8	19.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%
	Neutral/DK	18	8.4%	4	10.8%	0	0.0%	2	100%	0	2.1%	0	0.0%
	Very favorable	115	53.6%	12	30.5%	1	66.7%	2	100%	11	49.2%	1	100%
	Somewhat favorable	69	32.1%	18	43.7%	0	33.3%	0	0.0%	4	19.2%	0	0.0%
	Somewhat unfavorable	20	9.3%	6	14.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	16.4%	0	0.0%
Affairs Dept. Good/Bad Job	Very unfavorable	6	3.0%	4	11.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%
	Neutral/DK	4	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	12.7%	0	0.0%
	Great job	42	19.8%	9	21.6%	0	0.0%	2	100%	5	20.4%	0	0.0%
	Good job	84	39.4%	3	7.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	28.8%	1	100%

		Race											
		White/Caucasian		Black/African American		Hispanic		Asian		Native American		Other	
Office of Ind. Monitor	Average job	55	25.7%	16	39.0%	1	100%	0	0.0%	11	48.2%	0	0.0%
	Poor job	15	6.9%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Bad job	3	1.2%	8	20.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%
	Unsure	15	7.0%	4	9.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Very familiar	36	16.9%	4	9.2%	0	33.3%	0	0.0%	1	4.7%	0	0.0%
	Somewhat familiar	89	41.5%	14	35.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15	65.1%	1	100%
	Somewhat unfamiliar	33	15.3%	15	37.5%	1	66.7%	0	0.0%	4	20.1%	0	0.0%
Office of Ind. Monitor - description Support/Oppose	Very unfamiliar	56	26.3%	7	18.3%	0	0.0%	2	100%	2	10.1%	0	0.0%
	Strongly support	47	22.1%	15	36.9%	0	33.3%	2	100%	9	38.0%	1	53.6%
	Somewhat support	63	29.3%	4	10.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	35.2%	0	0.0%
	Somewhat oppose	31	14.5%	12	29.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	12.7%	0	0.0%
	Strongly oppose	53	24.8%	8	18.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	14.2%	1	46.4%
Supporters Vs. Opponents	Neutral/DK	20	9.2%	2	4.2%	1	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Strongly support	33	15.5%	18	45.1%	1	100%	2	100%	6	24.9%	1	53.6%
	Somewhat support	48	22.4%	3	8.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	22.7%	0	0.0%
	Somewhat oppose	46	21.3%	1	2.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	25.6%	0	0.0%
	Strongly oppose	62	29.1%	15	37.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	26.9%	1	46.4%
Already overseen by CALEA	Neutral/DK	25	11.7%	3	6.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Strongly support	26	12.0%	14	35.2%	0	33.3%	0	0.0%	6	24.9%	1	53.6%
	Somewhat support	54	25.3%	7	18.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	17.4%	0	0.0%
	Somewhat oppose	45	21.0%	1	2.4%	0	0.0%	2	100%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%
	Strongly oppose	73	34.1%	15	37.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	55.1%	1	46.4%
	Neutral/DK	16	7.6%	3	6.8%	1	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

		Race											
		White/Caucasian		Black/African American		Hispanic		Asian		Native American		Other	
City Officer with no Police Training	Strongly support	21	9.6%	13	33.4%	0	33.3%	0	0.0%	2	8.5%	1	53.6%
	Somewhat support	40	18.8%	3	7.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	29.1%	0	0.0%
	Somewhat oppose	46	21.6%	2	5.6%	0	0.0%	2	100%	2	9.9%	0	0.0%
	Strongly oppose	90	42.2%	19	48.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	37.8%	1	46.4%
	Neutral/DK	17	7.8%	2	5.6%	1	66.7%	0	0.0%	3	14.6%	0	0.0%
Contracting Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigations	Strongly support	22	10.1%	9	23.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	8.5%	0	0.0%
	Somewhat support	38	17.9%	12	29.8%	1	100%	0	0.0%	6	24.9%	1	53.6%
	Somewhat oppose	46	21.3%	2	5.1%	0	0.0%	2	100%	2	9.4%	0	0.0%
	Strongly oppose	85	39.5%	15	38.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	55.1%	1	46.4%
	Neutral/DK	24	11.2%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	2.1%	0	0.0%
Support/Oppose	Strongly support	23	10.7%	14	34.5%	0	33.3%	0	0.0%	2	8.5%	1	53.6%
	Somewhat support	43	20.1%	8	19.7%	1	66.7%	0	0.0%	6	27.5%	0	0.0%
	Somewhat oppose	47	22.1%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	2	100%	3	11.5%	0	0.0%
	Strongly oppose	89	41.6%	15	38.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	52.4%	1	46.4%
	Neutral/DK	12	5.5%	2	5.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Gender	Male	100	46.5%	20	50.4%	1	66.7%	0	0.0%	2	8.5%	1	46.4%
	Female	115	53.5%	20	49.6%	0	33.3%	2	100%	20	91.5%	1	53.6%
Age	18-24	0	0.0%	4	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	16.4%	0	0.0%
	25-34	5	2.5%	3	8.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	35-44	19	8.9%	8	19.7%	0	0.0%	2	100%	5	23.6%	0	0.0%
	45-54	36	16.9%	11	26.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	55-64	57	26.8%	6	15.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	41.0%	0	0.0%
	65 and over	96	44.9%	8	21.0%	1	100%	0	0.0%	4	19.0%	1	100%

		Race											
		White/Caucasian		Black/African American		Hispanic		Asian		Native American		Other	
City Council District (Tulsa)	Hall-Harper	14	6.4%	16	39.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%
	Cue	12	5.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.7%	0	0.0%
	Patrick	16	7.4%	1	3.3%	0	33.3%	0	0.0%	2	9.4%	0	0.0%
	McKee	25	11.6%	5	12.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	23.7%	1	53.6%
	Fahler	36	16.6%	2	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	16.8%	0	0.0%
	Dodson	28	12.9%	5	13.1%	1	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Wright	12	5.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%
	Lakin	39	18.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100%	3	12.7%	1	46.4%
	Kimbro	33	15.5%	11	27.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	21.9%	0	0.0%
	CD	Hern	214	99.7%	35	87.6%	1	100%	2	100%	22	100%	1
Lucas		1	0.3%	5	12.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

		City Council District (Tulsa)																	
		Hall-Harper		Cue		Patrick		McKee		Fahler		Dodson		Wright		Lakin		Kimbro	
Party	Republican	3	8.4%	8	51.2%	13	67.3%	15	35.7%	33	69.6%	17	40.9%	10	70.8%	26	54.1%	36	69.3%
	Democrat	26	69.0%	6	36.9%	6	32.7%	19	44.3%	10	21.0%	16	38.7%	4	29.2%	19	40.0%	14	26.8%
	Independent	8	22.6%	2	11.9%	0	0.0%	9	20.0%	4	9.4%	8	20.3%	0	0.0%	3	5.9%	2	3.9%
Label	Liberal	16	43.2%	4	23.3%	5	25.0%	21	49.4%	6	12.7%	11	27.3%	5	31.5%	8	17.0%	15	29.4%
	Conservative	11	28.3%	7	41.6%	9	44.3%	13	30.6%	23	47.9%	15	35.1%	5	31.5%	22	45.9%	19	36.5%
	Moderate	11	28.5%	6	35.1%	6	30.7%	9	19.9%	19	39.4%	16	37.6%	5	37.1%	18	37.2%	18	34.1%
G.T. Bynum	Very favorable	7	17.8%	7	43.1%	4	19.4%	14	33.2%	8	15.9%	12	29.6%	7	49.9%	13	26.2%	22	41.6%
	Somewhat favorable	18	47.1%	5	29.9%	9	47.8%	18	43.1%	24	51.4%	18	42.7%	3	19.4%	28	58.3%	19	35.9%
	Somewhat unfavorable	3	8.3%	4	23.3%	2	11.3%	5	10.8%	12	25.8%	10	25.1%	2	11.3%	5	10.9%	6	12.4%
	Very unfavorable	0	0.9%	1	3.7%	4	21.5%	5	11.8%	3	5.7%	0	0.0%	2	15.3%	2	4.6%	2	2.9%
	Neutral/DK	10	25.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	1.1%	1	1.2%	1	2.5%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	4	7.1%
Tulsa City Council	Very favorable	4	10.7%	1	7.4%	2	9.7%	1	3.1%	4	7.8%	8	18.3%	3	17.7%	3	5.9%	4	7.5%
	Somewhat favorable	18	48.4%	9	58.2%	6	30.1%	22	51.7%	32	66.6%	20	48.9%	9	62.9%	21	44.5%	35	67.8%
	Somewhat unfavorable	10	26.9%	4	26.9%	5	28.0%	3	7.2%	5	9.5%	2	5.1%	1	4.0%	19	38.7%	4	7.4%
	Very unfavorable	4	10.6%	1	3.7%	3	13.2%	4	9.6%	3	5.7%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	2	3.4%	6	11.1%
	Neutral/DK	1	3.4%	1	3.7%	4	19.1%	12	28.4%	5	10.4%	12	27.7%	2	11.3%	4	7.5%	3	6.2%

		City Council District (Tulsa)																	
		Hall-Harper		Cue		Patrick		McKee		Fahler		Dodson		Wright		Lakin		Kimbro	
Tulsa Police Dept.	Very favorable	13	34.1%	5	30.5%	9	46.0%	19	43.5%	26	54.0%	17	40.9%	6	41.2%	22	46.5%	36	68.5%
	Somewhat favorable	15	41.2%	10	65.8%	8	38.5%	14	31.9%	19	39.5%	22	52.0%	6	39.5%	16	32.6%	10	18.3%
	Somewhat unfavorable	5	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	23.0%	2	4.5%	3	7.1%	1	4.0%	7	15.0%	3	5.2%
	Very unfavorable	4	10.6%	1	3.7%	3	13.2%	1	1.6%	1	2.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.0%
	Neutral/DK	0	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	2.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	11.2%	3	5.9%	2	3.9%
Affairs Dept. Good/Bad Job	Great job	0	1.3%	4	23.8%	7	35.7%	1	2.2%	19	39.1%	3	7.6%	2	14.6%	13	26.0%	11	20.1%
	Good job	13	36.0%	4	22.4%	4	19.1%	23	54.1%	14	28.6%	14	32.5%	5	32.3%	14	29.0%	26	49.9%
	Average job	18	47.7%	5	29.8%	4	18.8%	13	30.5%	6	12.0%	13	30.2%	6	37.8%	21	42.8%	7	13.1%
	Poor job	1	2.0%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	7.4%	9	21.7%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	2	3.1%
	Bad job	4	11.8%	3	16.6%	1	4.8%	1	1.6%	0	1.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	4	7.9%
Office of Ind. Monitor	Unsure	0	1.3%	1	3.7%	4	21.5%	5	11.6%	6	12.0%	3	7.9%	1	7.3%	1	2.2%	3	5.8%
	Very familiar	2	6.3%	5	29.1%	4	19.9%	3	7.7%	12	26.0%	3	6.5%	0	0.0%	9	18.7%	5	9.2%
	Somewhat familiar	10	26.9%	6	35.7%	12	63.2%	16	37.3%	18	37.5%	19	45.6%	8	54.8%	17	35.7%	18	33.9%
	Somewhat unfamiliar	8	22.3%	3	18.6%	1	5.4%	17	40.3%	3	6.0%	9	22.7%	4	29.9%	7	14.7%	16	31.1%
Office of Ind. Monitor - description Support/Op	Very unfamiliar	17	44.4%	3	16.6%	2	11.5%	6	14.6%	15	30.5%	11	25.2%	2	15.3%	15	30.9%	13	25.7%
	Strongly support	11	30.6%	5	32.3%	5	23.7%	9	20.1%	10	20.3%	7	17.7%	5	35.6%	18	37.0%	11	22.0%
	Somewhat support	20	53.0%	6	37.2%	1	5.4%	13	31.2%	11	22.1%	10	24.4%	6	40.2%	9	18.1%	11	21.4%

		City Council District (Tulsa)																	
		Hall-Harper		Cue		Patrick		McKee		Fahler		Dodson		Wright		Lakin		Kimbro	
Supporters Vs. Opponents	Somewhat oppose	4	11.8%	0	0.0%	5	25.0%	4	9.4%	5	10.4%	4	8.7%	3	20.2%	7	14.2%	19	36.2%
	Strongly oppose	0	1.3%	4	26.8%	8	41.1%	10	22.9%	13	28.2%	10	24.3%	1	4.0%	13	26.0%	6	11.1%
	Neutral/DK	1	3.3%	1	3.7%	1	4.8%	7	16.5%	9	18.9%	10	24.9%	0	0.0%	2	4.7%	5	9.3%
	Strongly support	16	42.3%	1	3.7%	2	12.1%	6	14.0%	2	5.2%	8	20.0%	4	29.0%	15	31.8%	9	16.8%
	Somewhat support	10	27.2%	9	54.6%	1	5.4%	16	37.4%	10	20.8%	7	17.0%	5	35.5%	11	23.0%	7	12.6%
	Somewhat oppose	9	25.2%	1	7.4%	6	31.7%	6	13.3%	8	16.1%	5	12.1%	2	11.3%	4	7.4%	15	28.5%
	Strongly oppose	1	2.8%	4	26.8%	9	46.0%	10	24.0%	19	39.6%	12	27.9%	2	16.1%	16	33.1%	15	29.4%
Already overseen by CALEA	Neutral/DK	1	2.5%	1	7.4%	1	4.8%	5	11.4%	9	18.2%	10	22.9%	1	8.1%	2	4.7%	7	12.7%
	Strongly support	10	27.2%	0	0.0%	2	12.1%	6	12.9%	2	4.0%	7	16.6%	3	22.5%	15	31.8%	6	10.7%
	Somewhat support	22	59.9%	9	54.6%	1	5.4%	13	30.4%	9	18.9%	7	17.0%	5	34.7%	5	9.8%	10	18.7%
	Somewhat oppose	1	3.8%	2	11.1%	7	36.5%	7	17.5%	10	22.0%	12	28.0%	0	3.2%	8	17.1%	12	22.2%
	Strongly oppose	1	2.8%	5	30.5%	9	46.0%	12	28.7%	24	49.7%	13	32.2%	4	24.2%	18	37.9%	20	39.1%
City Officer with no Police Training	Neutral/DK	2	6.3%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	5	10.6%	3	5.4%	3	6.2%	2	15.3%	2	3.4%	5	9.3%
	Strongly support	10	26.4%	0	0.0%	2	12.1%	1	3.2%	4	7.4%	7	16.6%	3	23.3%	9	18.2%	3	5.5%
	Somewhat support	6	14.7%	4	27.7%	1	5.4%	11	26.4%	8	16.4%	11	26.6%	3	23.5%	10	20.8%	8	14.5%

		City Council District (Tulsa)																	
		Hall-Harper		Cue		Patrick		McKee		Fahler		Dodson		Wright		Lakin		Kimbro	
Contracting Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigatio ns	Somewhat oppose	10	27.9%	6	38.1%	3	14.0%	5	12.5%	10	21.7%	5	12.2%	3	17.7%	7	14.6%	10	19.1%
	Strongly oppose	10	26.0%	5	30.5%	13	66.1%	15	35.9%	23	48.7%	16	38.7%	4	28.2%	21	42.7%	23	43.3%
	Neutral/DK	2	5.0%	1	3.7%	0	2.4%	9	22.0%	3	5.8%	2	6.0%	1	7.3%	2	3.7%	9	17.6%
	Strongly support	9	25.2%	0	0.0%	1	6.7%	1	1.6%	5	10.2%	3	7.1%	3	19.3%	9	18.2%	4	7.9%
	Somewhat support	8	20.1%	4	23.1%	2	10.8%	16	36.7%	7	14.6%	9	21.1%	6	38.7%	7	14.5%	5	10.4%
	Somewhat oppose	15	39.8%	5	34.4%	3	16.4%	6	14.2%	10	21.0%	4	10.8%	1	6.5%	6	13.4%	10	18.9%
	Strongly oppose	2	4.3%	4	26.8%	13	66.1%	15	34.5%	17	35.9%	15	35.0%	2	16.1%	21	44.0%	25	47.3%
Support/Op pose	Neutral/DK	4	10.6%	2	15.7%	0	0.0%	6	13.0%	9	18.3%	11	26.1%	3	19.4%	5	10.0%	8	15.4%
	Strongly support	10	26.4%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%	1	3.2%	3	5.4%	7	16.6%	3	22.5%	9	18.2%	6	12.0%
	Somewhat support	8	20.7%	7	44.3%	1	6.0%	13	31.6%	8	16.4%	7	15.9%	3	23.5%	9	18.1%	5	9.1%
	Somewhat oppose	11	28.4%	3	21.5%	7	34.1%	6	14.5%	8	16.3%	4	9.6%	4	30.6%	5	10.7%	7	12.6%
Gender	Strongly oppose	2	4.3%	5	30.5%	10	50.8%	14	31.7%	21	44.5%	14	34.5%	3	20.2%	22	45.2%	27	51.8%
	Neutral/DK	8	20.2%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	8	19.0%	8	17.4%	10	23.5%	0	3.2%	4	7.8%	8	14.5%
	Male	13	35.5%	10	62.9%	4	19.1%	18	41.2%	25	52.5%	28	67.4%	7	48.3%	12	25.7%	28	53.3%
	Female	24	64.5%	6	37.1%	16	80.9%	25	58.8%	23	47.5%	14	32.6%	8	51.7%	36	74.3%	24	46.7%
	Age	18-24	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	8.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
25-34		5	12.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	8.0%	3	6.2%	2	5.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

		City Council District (Tulsa)																	
		Hall-Harper		Cue		Patrick		McKee		Fahler		Dodson		Wright		Lakin		Kimbro	
Race	35-44	4	10.6%	0	0.0%	5	25.0%	5	11.5%	7	15.5%	6	14.2%	0	0.0%	10	20.2%	5	9.4%
	45-54	12	31.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	15.4%	16	37.9%	0	0.0%	12	24.5%	7	14.0%
	55-64	5	13.4%	7	47.2%	9	46.7%	12	28.9%	14	29.3%	6	14.2%	3	22.4%	11	22.5%	11	21.3%
	65 and over	12	31.7%	8	52.8%	6	28.3%	18	43.1%	16	33.7%	12	28.0%	11	77.6%	16	32.8%	25	48.2%
	White/Caucasian	14	43.5%	12	92.0%	16	80.1%	25	69.7%	36	86.5%	28	81.7%	12	95.5%	39	86.9%	33	67.6%
	Black/African American	16	50.8%	0	0.0%	1	6.7%	5	13.5%	2	4.4%	5	15.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	22.4%
	Hispanic	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	2.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Asian	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	5.5%	0	0.0%
	Native American	2	5.7%	1	8.0%	2	10.7%	5	14.9%	4	9.2%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	3	6.3%	5	10.0%
	Other	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%
CD	Hern	32	84.4%	16	100%	20	100%	43	100%	48	100%	42	100%	15	100%	48	100%	52	100%
	Lucas	6	15.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

		Office of Ind. Monitor - description Support/Oppose						Support/Oppose					
		Support		Oppose		Neutral/DK		Support		Oppose		Neutral/DK	
Party	Republican	58	34.6%	77	66.4%	28	76.1%	25	24.8%	115	66.6%	23	49.0%
	Democrat	98	58.4%	17	14.4%	6	16.4%	73	72.0%	31	17.7%	17	36.9%
	Independent	12	7.0%	22	19.3%	3	7.5%	3	3.2%	27	15.7%	7	14.1%
Label	Liberal	65	38.6%	18	15.5%	9	23.9%	53	52.0%	24	14.0%	15	31.8%
	Conservative	43	25.7%	56	48.2%	23	63.7%	13	12.5%	90	52.0%	20	42.7%
	Moderate	60	35.6%	42	36.3%	5	12.4%	36	35.5%	59	34.0%	12	25.5%
G.T. Bynum	Very favorable	66	39.5%	21	18.3%	5	14.9%	41	40.5%	43	25.0%	9	19.1%
	Somewhat favorable	78	46.2%	39	34.0%	25	69.4%	51	50.0%	64	37.0%	28	59.8%
	Somewhat unfavorable	10	5.9%	39	33.5%	1	2.9%	8	8.2%	37	21.6%	4	9.2%
	Very unfavorable	3	1.5%	15	13.3%	1	2.6%	0	0.5%	18	10.5%	0	0.7%
Tulsa City Council	Neutral/DK	11	6.8%	1	0.9%	4	10.2%	1	0.8%	10	5.9%	5	11.1%
	Very favorable	25	15.0%	1	0.9%	3	7.7%	19	18.9%	7	4.2%	3	5.8%
	Somewhat favorable	99	59.1%	61	52.9%	13	35.9%	58	56.7%	100	58.2%	16	34.0%
	Somewhat unfavorable	31	18.5%	21	17.9%	1	2.1%	17	17.1%	29	16.9%	6	13.3%
	Very unfavorable	0	0.0%	21	18.2%	1	2.6%	4	3.9%	18	10.5%	0	0.0%
Tulsa Police Dept.	Neutral/DK	12	7.4%	12	10.1%	19	51.7%	3	3.3%	18	10.3%	22	46.8%
	Very favorable	49	29.4%	84	72.7%	19	51.7%	17	16.5%	124	71.8%	12	25.4%
	Somewhat favorable	86	50.9%	19	16.2%	14	39.3%	54	52.7%	35	20.3%	30	65.3%
	Somewhat unfavorable	26	15.6%	4	3.4%	0	0.8%	23	22.7%	5	3.2%	2	4.1%
	Very unfavorable	4	2.6%	6	5.3%	1	2.6%	8	8.1%	3	1.8%	0	0.0%
Affairs Dept. Good/Bad Job	Neutral/DK	2	1.5%	3	2.5%	2	5.6%	0	0.0%	5	2.9%	2	5.2%
	Great job	14	8.5%	42	35.9%	3	9.4%	4	4.3%	52	30.5%	2	5.2%
	Good job	53	31.8%	38	32.8%	25	67.6%	17	17.1%	73	42.3%	26	55.3%
	Average job	80	47.7%	7	6.3%	3	8.4%	57	56.2%	22	12.8%	11	24.6%

		Office of Ind. Monitor - description						Support/Oppose					
		Support/Oppose		Support/Oppose		Support/Oppose		Support/Oppose		Support/Oppose			
		Support	Oppose	Neutral/DK	Support	Oppose	Neutral/DK	Support	Oppose	Neutral/DK	Support	Oppose	Neutral/DK
Office of Ind. Monitor	Poor job	6	3.4%	10	8.9%	0	0.8%	6	5.8%	10	5.6%	1	1.3%
	Bad job	5	3.2%	8	6.6%	1	2.6%	9	9.1%	5	2.7%	0	0.0%
	Unsure	9	5.5%	11	9.5%	4	11.2%	8	7.4%	10	6.1%	6	13.7%
	Very familiar	13	7.7%	30	26.0%	0	0.0%	11	11.1%	31	18.2%	1	1.3%
	Somewhat familiar	72	43.1%	48	41.5%	3	9.5%	45	44.6%	73	42.5%	5	11.5%
	Somewhat unfamiliar	28	16.9%	24	20.4%	18	48.3%	17	17.0%	30	17.4%	22	48.5%
Supporters Vs. Opponents	Very unfamiliar	54	32.3%	14	12.0%	15	42.1%	28	27.4%	38	21.9%	18	38.7%
	Strongly support	58	34.3%	5	4.3%	1	3.4%	58	56.6%	4	2.6%	2	4.1%
	Somewhat support	66	39.2%	2	1.4%	8	22.6%	38	37.7%	18	10.3%	20	42.6%
	Somewhat oppose	29	17.3%	24	20.7%	2	6.2%	3	2.7%	50	29.3%	2	4.6%
	Strongly oppose	2	1.3%	85	73.6%	1	3.9%	2	1.6%	83	48.1%	4	9.5%
Already overseen by CALEA	Neutral/DK	13	7.8%	0	0.0%	23	64.0%	1	1.4%	17	9.7%	18	39.2%
	Strongly support	50	30.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	47	46.6%	0	0.2%	3	7.4%
	Somewhat support	69	41.2%	4	3.8%	7	20.0%	43	42.6%	22	12.6%	16	34.5%
	Somewhat oppose	22	12.9%	23	19.8%	16	42.8%	4	3.7%	45	26.1%	11	24.9%
	Strongly oppose	16	9.3%	88	75.8%	3	8.4%	3	3.3%	99	57.3%	4	9.5%
City Officer with no Police Training	Neutral/DK	11	6.6%	1	0.5%	10	26.7%	4	3.7%	7	3.8%	11	23.8%
	Strongly support	37	22.0%	0	0.0%	2	5.8%	36	35.1%	2	1.5%	1	2.1%
	Somewhat support	53	31.8%	0	0.0%	9	24.2%	44	43.1%	4	2.1%	15	31.7%
	Somewhat oppose	41	24.5%	11	9.3%	8	21.0%	11	10.4%	45	26.4%	4	7.9%
	Strongly oppose	20	12.0%	104	90.2%	5	13.9%	6	6.1%	112	64.9%	12	25.0%
Contracting Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigations	Neutral/DK	16	9.7%	1	0.5%	13	35.1%	5	5.4%	9	5.1%	15	33.3%
	Strongly support	34	20.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	34	33.4%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%
	Somewhat support	49	29.4%	5	4.3%	8	23.3%	51	50.0%	6	3.2%	6	14.0%

		Office of Ind. Monitor - description						Support/Oppose					
		Support/Oppose		Support/Oppose		Support/Oppose		Support/Oppose		Support/Oppose			
		Support	Oppose	Neutral/DK	Support	Oppose	Neutral/DK	Support	Oppose	Neutral/DK	Support	Oppose	Neutral/DK
Investigations	Somewhat oppose	44	26.3%	10	8.9%	7	19.1%	9	8.5%	45	26.4%	7	15.9%
	Strongly oppose	18	10.4%	94	81.1%	2	5.9%	1	1.2%	112	64.9%	1	1.3%
	Neutral/DK	23	13.6%	7	5.6%	18	49.8%	7	6.9%	10	5.5%	31	66.8%
Gender	Male	72	43.1%	58	50.5%	14	39.0%	46	45.7%	79	45.7%	20	43.2%
	Female	96	56.9%	57	49.5%	22	61.0%	55	54.3%	94	54.3%	26	56.8%
Age	18-24	4	2.2%	4	3.2%	0	0.0%	4	3.6%	4	2.1%	0	0.0%
	25-34	10	6.0%	3	2.9%	0	0.0%	5	5.2%	3	2.0%	5	10.2%
	35-44	13	7.6%	19	16.5%	10	27.0%	10	9.7%	25	14.5%	7	14.9%
	45-54	24	14.1%	23	20.0%	7	20.2%	15	14.9%	32	18.4%	7	15.9%
	55-64	45	27.0%	32	27.8%	2	4.5%	29	28.8%	45	26.0%	5	11.1%
Race	65 and over	72	43.1%	34	29.7%	18	48.3%	38	37.7%	64	37.1%	22	47.9%
	White/Caucasian	110	73.8%	84	76.5%	20	88.1%	66	67.4%	136	80.3%	12	83.9%
	Black/African American	19	12.8%	19	17.5%	2	7.6%	22	22.2%	16	9.5%	2	16.1%
	Hispanic	0	0.3%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Asian	2	1.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.4%	0	0.0%
	Native American	16	11.0%	6	5.5%	0	0.0%	8	8.2%	14	8.4%	0	0.0%
	Other	1	0.5%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
City Council District (Tulsa)	Hall-Harper	31	18.7%	5	4.2%	1	3.4%	18	17.4%	12	7.1%	8	16.4%
	Cue	11	6.6%	4	3.7%	1	1.6%	7	6.9%	8	4.8%	1	1.3%
	Patrick	6	3.4%	13	11.2%	1	2.6%	3	2.9%	17	9.7%	0	0.0%
	McKee	22	13.0%	14	11.9%	7	19.4%	15	14.6%	20	11.5%	8	17.6%
	Fahler	20	12.0%	18	15.9%	9	24.8%	10	10.2%	29	16.8%	8	17.9%
	Dodson	18	10.4%	14	11.9%	10	28.7%	14	13.3%	18	10.7%	10	21.3%
	Wright	11	6.6%	4	3.1%	0	0.0%	7	6.6%	7	4.3%	0	1.0%

		Office of Ind. Monitor - description Support/Oppose						Support/Oppose					
		Support		Oppose		Neutral/DK		Support		Oppose		Neutral/DK	
CD	Lakin	27	15.8%	19	16.7%	2	6.2%	17	17.2%	27	15.6%	4	8.1%
	Kimbro	23	13.5%	25	21.4%	5	13.4%	11	10.8%	34	19.5%	8	16.5%
	Hern	162	96.7%	116	100%	36	99.2%	97	95.4%	171	99.5%	46	99.3%
	Lucas	6	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.8%	5	4.6%	1	0.5%	0	0.7%